

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/671,740	SHIBAZAKI, MITSUHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunray Chang	2121	

All Participants:

(1) Sunray Chang.

(2) Tom Brown.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2006

Time: 9:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

the prior arts of record do not teach the angular velocity $(1-N)\omega$ as claimed.

Claims discussed:

cancelling claims 1, 4-6, 8 and 9.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Sunray Chang 5/23/2006
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)